S.241 & Drugged Driving

Vermont State's Attorneys





We have an existing culture of cannabis use.

DUI-Drugs (not just cannabis) already occurs, but is poorly detected and prosecuted. We do not have the tools for the job.





The sky will not fall, and it will still be blue.

We may reduce the size of the black market, depending upon tax rate and enforcement resources.

Our culture will likely remain the same. (We still won't be Utah.)



If S.241 passes as written, what will happen? (Part 2)

BUT....

We will have the only legal cannabis operation in the Eastern Time Zone, within a day's drive of 100 million people.



Hence the focus on





But, S.241 does not focus on:







S.241 punts the topic of highway safety to...*

The graveyard for unpopular ideas: a study and report.

**Except for the obligatory "add some police" appropriation.*

Driving Lethality: alcohol vs. cannabis

At-fault fatal crash risk (baseline sober risk = 1):

Cannabis-only: 2.3
Alcohol-only: 9.4
Cannabis and alcohol: 14.1

Biecheler et al *Traffic Injury Prevention* 2008 (French study; 727 drivers)



Driving Lethality: alcohol vs. cannabis

At-fault fatal crash risk (baseline sober risk = 1):

Cannabis-only: 2.7Active THC greater than 5ng: 6.6

Drummer et al, 2004, Accident Analysis & Prevention (Australia; 3,398 drivers)



Meta-Analysis: aggregation of studies re: THC impairment



0

- 9 studies, 1982-2007
- Combined motor vehicle crash risk OR 1.92 (95% CI 1.4-2.7)
- Asbridge et al, *BMJ* 2012; 344: e536





Meta-Analysis: aggregation of studies re: THC impairment

Driver Culpability Risk—Fatal MVAs





Can you keep it between the painted lines?

 8.2 ng/mL active THC produces the same lane departure effect (weaving) as 0.05 BAC alcohol

 * 13.1 ng/mL active THC produces the same lane departure effect (weaving) as 0.08 BAC alcohol

National Advanced Driving Simulator, University of Iowa (2014; 13 participants)



Effects of active THC on driver

- * Driver is aware of impairment and compensates by lowering speed / increasing following distance.
- * Negative effect on reaction time and ability to respond to unforeseen circumstances.

* Some negative effect on ability to maintain lane.



Ok, so why can't we just hire more police with cannabis tax money like S.241 says?

* It's a good start, but we also need:

- * Toxicologists
- * Prosecutors/Judges...and even defense attorneys
- * A clear legal standard (like .08 BAC)
- * A public education campaign regarding that standard.
- Detection tools that recognize that active THC disappears quickly.



Detection & Enforcement Requirements

- * Active THC disappears into the fatty tissue quickly.
- Cannabis-impaired drivers "look and sound good" on the police video when performing standardized sobriety exercises
- * Requirements:
 - * A speedy screening tool (saliva tester)
 - Blood test in under 2 hours
 - Legal limit that recognizes that THC is rapidly disappearing from blood during those two hours



What legal limit to choose?

- Don't worry: we didn't get it right on the first try with alcohol.
 0.18 BAC was the first legal limit in the USA.
- Keep in mind that THC is rapidly eliminated from the body, so the limit (at time of test) should be lower than the number that is indicative of impairment.
- * Chronic users may maintain an active THC concentration of up to 3ng in bloodstream despite sustained periods of abstinence measured in weeks (*Huestsis* 2015 study in Baltimore, MD; 2015).





- * The highway safety problem is solvable, and won't be of the same magnitude as that posed by alcohol.
- * A per se legal limit, saliva testing, and blood tests interpreted by toxicologists are needed.

